How to sample from a probability distribution

Warren D. Smith* wds@research.NJ.NEC.COM

April 18, 2002

Abstract —

This brief note explains how to sample a probability N-vector in O(1) time per sample, with the aid of a O(N)-word data structure buildable in O(N) steps. The algorithm is highly practical; we give pseudocode based on actual working code.

Keywords — Sampling. Linear time algorithm. Data structure.

A fundamental programming task is sampling from an explicitly given probability distribution on N events, which we shall imagine is represented by an N-vector \vec{X} . Surprisingly, the best method for doing managed to escape previous notice. What makes this even more surprising, is that the key idea was invented in 1977 by A. Walker [2], and then was presented in Knuth's textbook [1]², but both Walker and Knuth (although Knuth improved upon Walker's original conception) failed to notice the optimal result, our theorem 1.

```
function WalkerSample(real \vec{Y}, positive integer N, \vec{A})

1: positive integer i; real r;

2: i \leftarrow 1 + \lfloor N \cdot \operatorname{rand}_{[0,1)} \rfloor; r \leftarrow \operatorname{rand}_{[0,1)};

3: if r > Y_i then

4: i \leftarrow A_i;

5: end if

6: return i.
```

Figure 1. Walker's sampling algorithm.

Theorem 1. (Complexity of sampling) Let \vec{X} be a probability N-vector. Then on a RAM with a generator of random variates uniform in [0,1) there is an algorithm to produce samples $i \in \{1,...,N\}$ with probability X_i , in O(1) steps (worst-case) per sampling. The sampling algorithm relies on a data structure consisting of one integer array \vec{A} and one real array \vec{Y} , each having N elements. This data structure may be built (starting from \vec{X}) in O(N) steps with the temporary use of one additional N-element integer array for bookkeeping.

Proof. The O(1)-time sampling algorithm WalkerSample is due to A.Walker [2]. Its intuitive idea is: we sample from a uniform distribution (all $X_i = N^{-1}$), which may be thought of as a histogram with N bars all of equal height. We then

wish to correct this to get the actually-desired distribution \vec{X} . That is accomplished by dividing the ith histogram bar into two pieces, one of which is labeled "stay here at i" and the other of which is labeled "go to $j=A_i$ instead." By selecting the heights of the two sub-bars (and the destinations j) correctly, we may always get \vec{X} exactly correct after only 1 such correction step.

```
procedure BuildSampler(real \vec{X}, natural N, \vec{A}, \vec{B})
 1: assert X_i \geq 0 for all i = 1, ..., N, and N \geq 1;
 2: natural j, k;
 3: for j = 1, 2, ..., N do
        A_j \leftarrow j; B_j \leftarrow j;
                                   ⊳ initial destinations (stay there)
        X_j \leftarrow N \cdot X_j;
                                         ⊳initial (scaled) bar heights
 6: end for
 7: B_0 \leftarrow 0; X_0 \leftarrow 0.0;
                                                                ⊳ sentinels
 8: B_{N+1} \leftarrow N+1; X_{N+1} \leftarrow 2.0;
 9: k \leftarrow 0; j \leftarrow N + 1;
10: loop
       repeat
                                  \triangleright find k so B_k's budget too small
11:
12:
          k \leftarrow k + 1;
       until X[B_k] \geq 1.0
13:
14:
       repeat
                                   \triangleright find j so B_j's budget too large
          j \leftarrow j-1;
15:
       until X[B_i] < 1.0
16:
       exitwhen k > j;
17:
       swap B_k \leftrightarrow B_i;
18:
19: end loop
20: assert B is now (and will remain) ordered so overfunded
     histogram bars first, underfunded ones last;
21: k \leftarrow j+1;
22: while j > 0 do
                                             \triangleright B_i initially overfunded
23:
        while X[B_k] \leq 1.0 do \triangleright get next underfunded bar B_k
24:
          k \leftarrow k + 1;
        end while
25:
        exitwhen k > N;
                                          ⊳ done with all adjustments
26:
       X[B_k] \leftarrow X[B_k] + X[B_i] - 1.0; \triangleright adjust bar heights
27:
       A[B_j] \leftarrow B_k;
28:
                                                      ▶ and destinations
       if X[B_k] < 1.0 then
29:
30:
          swap B_j \leftrightarrow B_k; k \leftarrow k+1;
31:
          j \leftarrow j-1;
32:
       end if
33:
34: end while.
```

Figure 2. O(N)-time algorithm to build Walker's data structure (based on actual working C code). Probability N-vector \vec{X} is overwritten by \vec{Y} on output and \vec{A} is created. X_0, X_{N+1} and $B_{0,...,N+1}$ are used for temporary storage.

This existence claim is made clear by the O(N)-step construction algorithm BuildSampler. (Walker's original build-algorithm had required order N^2 steps.) Its operation is largely explained by the comments in the code. The idea is that there are two subsets of histogram bars: those which are below average and those which are above average. Unless the distribution is exactly uniform (in which case sampling is a triviality) both of these subsets have cardinality ≥ 1 . We find two histogram bars, one from each set, and make the bar whose desired probability is below average donate an appropriate amount of its probability budget (initially N^{-1}) to the other, so that its probability budget now agrees with its

^{*}NECI, 4 Independence Way Princeton NJ 08540 USA

¹Here we assume every coordinate of the vector is nonzero, or equivalently redefine N so that it is the number of nonzero coordinates in the vector.

 $^{^2\}operatorname{The}\ second$ edition of Knuth [1], section 3.4.1 (pages 120-121) and exercise 7.

desired probability; this bar may now be eliminated from all further consideration. The bar that accepted the donation now has its budget readjusted (and may switch sets). We continue this process until all bars are eliminated. The 2 sets (and the third set, of eliminated bars) are conveniently kept in parts of a partitioned array.

Because it is simpler – and because ultimately this is needed anyway to make the output routine interface with a random number generator on [0,1) – we work with all bar heights scaled up by a factor of N. It is easiest to think about the algorithm as manipulating two real N-vectors, one for the desired probability distribution \vec{X} , and the other for the current "budget," or approximation. But if such an algorithm is written down, you will soon see that it is possible to simplify it to make it have only one real N-vector, which on input is the desired probability distribution \vec{X} and which is converted in place to the output \vec{Y} . The fact that, each such step, the counter k increments or j decrements (and once k > N or $j \leq 0$ the procedure terminates), makes the proof that the runtime is O(N) trivial.

Working C code for all this is available at http://www.neci.nj.nec.com/homepages/wds/WDSsampler.c.

References

- D.E.Knuth: Seminumerical algorithms, second edition, Addison-Wesley-Longman 1999.
- [2] Alastair J. Walker: An Efficient Method for Generating Discrete Random Variables with General Distributions, ACM Trans. Mathematical Software 3,3 (Sept 1977) 253-256.